



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/893,211	06/28/2001	Tatsuo Ito	35.C15629	5019	
5514	7590 05/09/2005	EXAM	EXAMINER		
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			RUDY, AM	RUDY, ANDREW J	
NEW YORK.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 05/09/2003	5	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		09/893,211	ITO, TATSUO			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627			
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHO THE IN - Exten after 5 - If the - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, apply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
2a)⊠ 3)□	 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 February 2005</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>20 and 25-31</u> is/are pending in the apple of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>20 and 25-31</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application	on Papers					
9)[] 1 10)[] 1	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the o Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. It is noted that Applicant has canceled claims 1-19 and 21-24. Claims 20 and 25-31 are pending.

Drawings

2. Applicant has not addressed the drawing objections raised from the previous Office Action. Again, the Examiner does not see a correlation between the claim language and the drawing figures. Thus, the drawings are deficient and are still objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. Claims 20 and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 20, line 4, "of controlling" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 20, line 6, "a reception step of receiving" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 20, line 9, "of calculating" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 20, line 11, "of, when" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 20, line 15, "of deciding" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 20, line 9, "of calculating" is improper syntax and not clear.

Claim 28, line 1, "shopping a system" is improper syntax and not clear.

Application/Control Number: 09/893,211 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 20 and 25-31, as understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Malone, US 2002/0038348.

Applicant is directed towards the previous Office Action regarding Malone and the use thereof, in view of Official Notice. Applicant's REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. The term service point does not provide a line of demarcation over Malone. Applicant's do not properly traverse the Official Notice. Applicant does not state that the Examiner is wrong. After taking of Official Notice, factual evidence must only be presented if such an assertion is made by Applicant. The Official Notice is deemed conceded by Applicant. Thus, the previous rejection is maintained as the method steps recited by the Applicant are known. The system claims 28-31 recited by the Applicant would further be deemed obvious in view of Malone and Official Notice for the same reasons proffered above.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 3627

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rody

Page 4